

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/509,457	0/509,457 05/02/2005		Sabine Mollus	DE 020086	2736	
24737	7590	07/05/2006		EXAM	INER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS				RAMIREZ, JOH	RAMIREZ, JOHN FERNANDO	
P.O. BOX 30	01					
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•		3737		

DATE MAILED: 07/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summans	10/509,457	MOLLUS ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	John F. Ramirez	3737						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Marci	n 15, 2006.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowant	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the E	Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:		-(d) or (f).						
<u> </u>	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		d						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	or the certified copies not receive	u.						
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ite atent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	., , ,						

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

After a review of applicant's remarks filed on March 15, 2006, all necessary changes to the claims have been entered. In respect to the rejection(s) of claims 1-9, the examiner of record concurs with applicant's arguments and are persuasive. However, upon further consideration, a new rejection is made in order to expedite the prosecution.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

On October 26, 2005, the USPTO published Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility. See: (http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preognotice/guidelines101_200 51026.pdf

This guidelines details a procedure for determining patent eligible subject matter. As to claim 1, the first step in this process is whether the claims fall within one of enumerated categories. In the immediate application, the claims are drawn to a process - a "method of determining a corresponding image" - and

Art Unit: 3737

meets this step. However, the analysis does not end here. The next step is whether a judicial exception (abstract ideas, laws of nature, natural phenomenon) is provided in the claim. In the immediate application, claim 1 clearly includes one of the judicial exceptions in that "determining a similarity function by way of a similarity comparison of the first and the second motion signal", "determining a correspondence instant in the first motion signal by means of the similarity function, the correspondence instant corresponding to the acquisition instant of the reference image from the second motion signal" and the step of "determining, using the first motion signal, that image of the image sequence whose acquisition constant corresponds at least approximately to the correspondence instant" are nothing more than abstract ideas which provide no transformation or practical application. While abstract ideas alone are not eligible, the claim as a whole must be analyzed to determine whether it is for a particular application of the abstract idea. For claims including such excluded subject matter to be eligible, the claim must be for a practical application of the abstract idea, law of nature, or natural phenomena. To satisfy the requirement of a practical application, the claimed invention must:

- (1) transform an article or physical object to a different state or thing; if no transformation, then
- (2) the claimed invention must produce a useful, concrete, and tangible result.

Regarding (1) above, the claims do not provide a transformation or reduction of an article to a different state or thing. Grouping equivalent dipoles

based on predetermined criterion and solving inverse problems clearly do not transform an article or physical object to a different state or thing. Accordingly, one must then consider whether the claimed invention produces a useful, concrete, <u>and</u> tangible result.

(1) Useful Result

For an invention to be "useful" it must satisfy the utility requirement of section 101. The USPTO's official interpretation of the utility requirement provides that the utility of the invention has to be (i) specific, (ii) substantial and (iii) credible. See MPEP 2107. It can be argued that the claim does not provide a useful result in that the claim does not actually solve a problem. It does not appear to be specific as to how the problem is solved and, if solved, it is not specific as to the use of this solution.

(2) Tangible Result

The tangible requirement does not necessarily mean that a claim must either be tied to a particular machine or apparatus or must operate to change articles or materials to a different state or thing. However, the tangible requirement does require that the claim must recite more than a 101 judicial exception, in that the process claim must set forth a practical application of that 101 judicial exception to produce a real world result.

Regarding the tangible result requirement, the claim clearly does not provide a practical application. The problem, even if solved, is not practically applied to produce a real world result. For example, once the problem is solved, how is this then applied?

Application/Control Number: 10/509,457

Art Unit: 3737

(3) Concrete Result

Another consideration is whether the invention produces a "concrete" result. Usually, this question arises when a result cannot be assured. In other words, the process must have a result that can be substantially repeatable or the process must substantially produce the same result again. Resolving this question is dependent on the level of skill in the art. For example, if the claimed invention is for a process which requires a particular skill, to determine whether the process is substantially repeatable will necessarily require a determination of the level of skill of the ordinary skilled artisan.

Page 5

Regarding the concrete result requirement, the claim does not provide a result that can be assured in that the result can not be substantially repeatable and the process can not substantially produce the same result again.

In view of the above analysis, applicant's claim 1 is a process of signals which includes a judicial exception therein. Upon review of the claim as a whole, there is no transformation nor does the claim produce a useful, concrete, and tangible result. Accordingly, the claim is non-statutory under 35 U.S.C. 101.

In relation to claim 10, a computer readable-medium that process signals must be capable of producing a physical transformation or a useful, concrete and tangible result for the same reasons stated above.

In relation to claims 2-9 depend from claim 1 and, as such, include the various steps thereof. As discussed above, claim 1 is a method that provides no

transformation of signals and there is no practical application, which is useful, concrete and tangible.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

The term "determining" in claims 1, and 8 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "determining" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

Claims 1-10 are indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John F. Ramirez whose telephone number is (571) 272-8685. The examiner can normally be reached on (Mon-Fri) 7:30 - 4:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian L. Casler can be reached on (571) 272-4956. The Application/Control Number: 10/509,457 Page 7

Art Unit: 3737

fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JFR 06/14/06

BRIAN L. CASLER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2700